

<b>Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary</b>	<b>Application No.</b>	<b>Applicant(s)</b>
	09/775,119	CLEGG ET AL.
	<b>Examiner</b>	<b>Art Unit</b>
	Lawrence Shrader	2193

**All Participants:**

**Status of Application:** Pending

(1) Lawrence Shrader.

(3) \_\_\_\_.

(2) Randol W. Read, Reg. No. 43, 876.

(4) \_\_\_\_.

**Date of Interview:** 12 April 2005

**Time:** 16:15

**Type of Interview:**

Telephonic  
 Video Conference  
 Personal (Copy given to:  Applicant  Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated:  Yes  No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

**Part I.**

Rejection(s) discussed:

Claims discussed:

8

Prior art documents discussed:

**Part II.**

**SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:**

*See Continuation Sheet*

**Part III.**

It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.  
 It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

  
 (Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed:

On line 9 of claim 8, change:

· "...the adding step being conducted with a hardware based operation;"

to

"...the adding step being conducted with a hardware based operation autonomously, without firmware interaction;" .